

1 recollection was clearer, that is, as you got
2 closer or were earlier in time, specifically
3 November of 2005.

4 A. Yes.

5 Q. Do you remember both of your first two interviews
6 with law enforcement?

7 A. I remember the first two.

8 Q. And do you remember in both of those interviews
9 telling law enforcement officers that Barb left
10 your house sometime between 11:00 p.m. or
11 midnight and went home those nights?

12 A. Yes, I assumed she went home. I thought she did.

13 ATTORNEY KRATZ: That's all I have of
14 Mr. Tadych. Thank you.

15 THE COURT: All right. The witness is
16 excused. You may call your next witness, Mr. Kratz.

17 ATTORNEY KRATZ: Call Bobbie Dohrwardt to
18 the stand. Could I have just a minute with
19 Mr. Strang, Judge?

20 THE COURT: Sure. Excuse me, counsel, I
21 think the witness is standing right behind you.

22 THE CLERK: Raise your right hand.

23 **BOBBIE DOHRWARDT**, called as a witness
24 herein, having been first duly sworn, was
25 examined and testified as follows:



1 THE CLERK: Please be seated. Please state
2 your name and spell your last name for the record.

3 THE WITNESS: My name is Bobbie Dohrwardt,
4 D-o-h-r-w-a-r-d-t.

5 (Exhibit No. 359 and 360 marked for identification.)

6 DIRECT EXAMINATION

7 BY ATTORNEY KRATZ:

8 Q. I'm sorry for the delay, Ms Dohrwardt. Can you
9 tell the jury, please, how are you employed?

10 A. I work for Cellcom.

11 Q. And what is Cellcom?

12 A. Cellcom is a wireless carrier, local to northeast
13 Wisconsin.

14 Q. Ms Dohrwardt, have you been asked and have you
15 reviewed cellular telephone records for a
16 subscriber by the name of Steven Avery, or
17 Avery's Salvage -- Auto Salvage for the dates on
18 and around October 31st, 2005?

19 A. Yes, sir.

20 Q. Let me ask you, Ms Dohrwardt, are -- in your
21 employment with Cellcom, are you what is known as
22 one of the custodians of the business records for
23 that business?

24 A. Yes, I am.

25 Q. Generally, what do you do for Cellcom?

1 A. I am the team leader for Technical Support
2 Department.
3 Q. And as team leader, are you able to review, and
4 to the extent that, at least in general terms,
5 we're going to ask you questions; are you able to
6 interpret cellular telephone records that you
7 have been asked to look at?
8 A. Yes, sir.

9 ATTORNEY KRATZ: The Court has asked,
10 Judge, and I think Mr. Strang will agree, that we
11 not use telephone records in this instance -- excuse
12 me -- telephone numbers in this instance. And Ms
13 Dohrwardt will be referring, then, to subscriber
14 names rather than numbers. And, please, Mr. Strang,
15 if there's an issue, stop and we can either approach
16 the bench or we can approach the witness at that
17 time. Does that sound fair, Judge?

18 ATTORNEY STRANG: Your Honor, this actually
19 will be Mr. Buting's witness, but we did have an
20 agreement, we do have an agreement, that for
21 purposes of this trial, we'll not identify specific
22 telephone numbers at least without conferring
23 further.

24 THE COURT: Very well.

25 BY ATTORNEY KRATZ:

1 Q. Ms Dohrwardt, the first document that you have in
2 front of you, which is actually quite small
3 print, I would ask you to identify that document
4 number for me, please; what exhibit number is it?

5 A. Exhibit 359.

6 Q. And could you tell the jury, please, what
7 Exhibit 359 is?

8 A. It is a call record that I produced.

9 Q. And was this the call record, again, for both
10 incoming and outgoing telephone calls for the
11 cellular telephone records for Steven Avery?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. Again, do these include calls on October 31st of
14 2005?

15 A. Yes.

16 Q. If I ask you at a specific time and if you have
17 another record next to you, which I think is
18 Exhibit No. 360, please feel free to refer to
19 that if that will assist you; does that sound
20 okay?

21 A. Fine.

22 Q. Let me ask you, then, Ms Dohrwardt, at 8:12 a.m.
23 on October 35 (sic), 2005, was a cellular
24 telephone call placed from that cellular
25 telephone of Steven Avery?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. And again, referring to Exhibit No. 360, since
3 that will refer to subscriber names rather than
4 numbers, could you tell us who that call went to,
5 please?

6 A. That call was to *Auto Trader*.

7 Q. And how long or what was the duration of that
8 call?

9 A. Two minutes, forty-seven seconds.

10 Q. I would next ask you to review a outgoing
11 telephone call at 2:24 p.m.; do you note that
12 calling having been made?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And again, referring to Exhibit No. 360 and
15 Exhibit 359, in conjunction, are you able to tell
16 who that telephone call was made to?

17 A. To Teresa Halbach.

18 Q. What is the duration of that call?

19 A. Seven seconds.

20 Q. Now, Ms Dohrwardt, I'm going to ask you about a
21 specific cellular telephone feature, which is
22 called the *67 feature; are you familiar with
23 that?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. Could you explain that to the jury; what is a *67

1 feature?

2 A. It is a remote feature activation that will allow

3 the outbound number to be blocked or restricted

4 on the terminating end.

5 Q. If the individual on the receiving end had, let's

6 say, caller ID, you may have a better term than

7 that, but if they had what we all know as caller

8 ID, would they be able to see who the call is

9 being made from?

10 A. No, it would appear as blocked, or restricted,

11 private, something like that.

12 Q. And next, Ms Dohrwardt, I'm going to ask you to

13 review those records, again, Exhibit 359 and 360.

14 Ask, at 2:35 p.m., if another call, outgoing

15 call, was attempted from the cellphone of

16 Mr. Steven Avery?

17 A. Yes.

18 Q. And who was that call placed to?

19 A. Teresa Halbach.

20 Q. Is there a duration or was that a completed call?

21 A. There was no duration.

22 Q. All right. Now, both the 2:24 call and the 2:35

23 call, do your records reflect that any specific

24 call feature was used on those two calls?

25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Which call feature was used?

2 A. The *67 blocking feature.

3 Q. At 4:35 p.m., Ms Dohrwardt, does another outgoing

4 call appear on those records?

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Who was that call made to?

7 A. Teresa Halbach.

8 Q. And is there a duration noted on that call?

9 A. No duration.

10 Q. So duration would be zero?

11 A. Zero.

12 Q. All right. At 5:57 p.m., do your records reflect

13 another call being made?

14 A. Yes.

15 Q. And who was that call made to?

16 A. Charles Avery.

17 Q. And can you describe for the jury the duration of

18 that call?

19 A. Five minutes, twenty-three seconds.

20 Q. Finally, Ms Dohrwardt, at 9:20 p.m., do your

21 records reflect a final outgoing call that day?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. And who is that call made to?

24 A. Barb Janda.

25 Q. And is there a call duration associated with that

1 call?

2 A. Zero.

3 Q. Now, we have talked about Exhibit No. 359 being
4 your records, that is, the records of Cellcom;
5 does Exhibit No. 360 appear to be, legal term is
6 a summary exhibit, of what you have testified to
7 here today?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. And do the numbers or times of the calls, type of
10 call, and call durations, all appear consistent
11 with not only the records in 359, but also with
12 what you have testified here today?

13 A. Yes.

14 ATTORNEY KRATZ: With that, then, Judge, I
15 will move the admission of Exhibits 359,
16 provisionally 360, and maybe an additional
17 stipulation with that exhibit. But I don't believe
18 I have any further questions of Ms Dohrwardt at this
19 time. Thank you.

20 THE COURT: Any objection to the exhibits?

21 ATTORNEY BUTING: No objection.

22 THE COURT: All right. The exhibits are
23 received.

24 ATTORNEY BUTING: Can we approach, your
25 Honor?